

**Request for Protocol Approval
For the Use of Human Subjects in Non-Medical Research**

This form is appropriate for protocols needing Expedited Review and Full Review.

Check the type of review needed, or leave blank for IRB staff: Expedited Review Full Review

This form should NOT be used if your research activities will be limited to the secondary analysis of existing data. See <http://www.ors.duke.edu/irb/forms/index.html#dataguide> for guidance and a form for secondary analysis.

Contents:

- A. Investigator and Project Information
- B. Investigator Assurances
- C. Instructions for Preparing Project Description and Appendices

A. Investigator and Project Information

If the protocol is for a student research project, a faculty member must agree to be the responsible investigator for the project.

Section 1 is for research by faculty and administrators. Section 2 is for student research.

Section 1: Faculty and Administrator Research

Investigator(s): Rick H. Hoyle

Faculty Administrator Other: _____

Department/School: Psychology & Neuroscience E-mail: rhoyle@duke.edu Phone: 660.5791

Section 2: Undergraduate, Graduate Student, and Post-Doctoral Research

1. Student/Fellow Investigator(s) _____

Graduate Student Undergraduate Student Postdoctoral Fellow Other Fellow/Scholar

Department/School: _____ E-mail: _____ Phone: _____

2. Responsible Investigator(s) _____

Department/School: _____ E-mail: _____ Phone: _____

3. Project Title: QuitAssist Expert Panel Study

4. Source of Funding: Philip Morris USA

(If research is externally funded, submit a copy of the application or the award.)

5. If Federally Funded, Proposal/Grant Number: _____

6. Research Site: Bay C, Erwin Mill Building

7. Potentially Vulnerable Subject Populations: Please check all that apply (if any).

Minors, as defined at research site (under 18 years old in NC)

Duke Psychology Subject Pool Students or employees of the researcher Prisoners

B. Assurances (Original signatures are required*)

Section 1: All researchers.

Section 2: Responsible advisors for research by students and fellows.

Section 3: Department Chair or, when a school has no departments, the Dean.

Section 1: Investigator(s) Assurance (Required for All Research)

I certify to the following:

- a. The research will not be initiated until written approval is secured from the IRB. **(Note: Approval will not be provided unless certification to conduct research with human subjects is current for the investigator[s], and if the investigator is a student, the advisor’s certification is also current.)**
- b. I will conduct this study as described in the approved protocol. If any changes are anticipated, I will contact the IRB staff before implementing the changes and submit an amendment if necessary. I will contact the IRB staff immediately if any of the following events occur: unanticipated risks, adverse events, and findings during the study that would affect the risks or benefits of participation.

_____	_____
Investigator	Date
_____	_____ (Add more lines if needed)
Investigator	Date

Section 2: Responsible Investigator Assurance as a Faculty Advisor (Required for All Student Research):

I certify that the protocol accurately describes the research procedures and incorporates human subjects protections, including the assessment and management of potential risks and an appropriate informed consent process. To the best of my understanding, I believe the protocol meets the requirements of the Institutional Review Board and application regulations for protecting research subjects. I assume responsibility for 1) ensuring that student researchers are aware of their responsibilities as investigators <<http://www.ors.duke.edu/irb/fundamentals/responsible.html>>, and 2) that the IRB will be immediately informed in the event of research-related unanticipated risks, adverse events, or findings during the study that would affect the risks or benefits of participation.

_____	_____
Responsible Investigator (Advisor)	Date
_____	_____
Responsible Investigator (Advisor)	Date

Section 3: Approval of Department or Division Chair or School Dean; if no department or school, approval of Program Director (Required for All Research):

_____	_____
[underline or circle] Chair Dean Director	Date

****Protocols may be reviewed with faxed or electronic signatures, but originals are needed for final approval.***

To be completed by IRB only

APPROVAL: _____

Human Subjects Administrator or IRB Member	_____
	Date

1. Research Design

Purpose:

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the completeness and accuracy of information on the QuitAssist website in comparison with other smoking cessation websites using a panel of experts.

2. Procedures:

We will enlist a group of smoking cessation experts to compare various features of the content of the QuitAssist website and two other smoking cessation websites: smokefree.gov and becomeanex.org. Following the lead of Bock et al. (2004), we will identify up to 12 reviewers who are either Ph.D.- or M.D.-level specialists in smoking cessation and tobacco research to conduct the reviews. Potential reviewers will be contacted initially via email to solicit their interest in serving as an expert reviewer (Appendix A, page 7). Participants who indicate that they are interested will be sent an email providing a link and password to access the assessment instrument website (copies Appendix B, page 8). Participants who do not log in to the website by a given date will be sent a reminder email message (Appendix C, page 9). If reviewers do not complete the assessment within 7-10 business days of the deadline, they will receive a reminder telephone call from project staff (telephone script in Appendix D, page 10).

When participants log in to the assessment site, they will be greeted by a welcome screen. Selecting “Next” will take them to an online version of the informed consent form. Participants who, after reading the informed consent form, indicate that they wish to participate in the review will be taken to the first page of the assessment instrument (content described in the next section).

Reviewers will rate each website using a modified version of the Bock et al. 2004 Smoking Treatment Content Scale (STS-C) and Smoking Treatment Rating Scale STS-R). After participants complete assessments of each website and submit them electronically, they will be contacted by telephone or mail in order to obtain their social security number so that a check can be sent to them by the Duke Office of Travel and Reimbursement (Appendix E, page 11).

3. Subject Selection

All reviewers selected will be Ph.D. or M.D. specialists in smoking cessation and tobacco research. Reviewers will be selected for their clinical or scientific experience, familiarity with the PHS Tobacco guidelines, and current research interests or clinical specialization in tobacco treatment. No reviewer will have consulted for or had any financial interest or involvement in any of the websites they are assigned to review.

4. Assessment Instrument

Participants in this study will use an assessment instrument that will be administered on the Web using the Checkbox survey tool, which runs from a secure server in the Social Science Research

Institute (SSRI) at Duke (Appendix F, pages 12-35). Examining each website and completing detailed assessments is expected to take 6-8 hours to complete. The instrument will consist of items taken from the Smoking Treatment Scale - Content (STS-C) (Bock et al, 2004). The STS-C is a 12-item checklist which presents key components of treatment as described in the US PHS guidelines for the treatment of tobacco dependence (US PHS, 2002). Key components of the guidelines were codified by Bock into operationally defined units and, where appropriate, subdivided into separate topic areas when the guidelines specified more than one type of action or intervention within the relevant key component. The 12 items on the STS-C are (1-2) advise every smoker to quit smoking (subdivided into two categories: clear/strong and personalized), (3) assess readiness to quit, (4-5) assist with a quit plan (subdivided into three actions related to setting a quit date and seven topics for providing practical counseling), (6) provide intra-treatment social support, (7) recommend use of approved pharmacotherapy, (8) arrange follow-up, and four areas aimed at enhancing motivation to quit by discussing the (9) relevance of quitting smoking, (10) the risks of continued smoking, (11) the rewards of quitting, and (12) the potential roadblocks or barriers to quitting smoking.

Ratings of coverage use a 5-point scale. If the treatment component is not mentioned, it received a rating of 1. If the topic is mentioned very briefly, it will receive a 2. Key components covered briefly but with sufficient detail to be adequately helpful to smokers seeking to quit will be given a rating of 3. Sites that provided more detail and more extensive information will be given ratings of either 4 or 5 depending on the extent of the information provided. This method is similar to those of Berland et al. 2001, and was used in Bock et al.'s 2004 review. The overall interrater reliability of the STS-R kappa obtained in Bock's previous study was .77 or greater for all items, ranging from .77 to .93.

Accuracy is rated on a 3-point scale: 3 = "totally accurate," 2 = "mostly accurate," and 1 = "significant misinformation or potentially dangerous errors." When no inaccurate information is observed, the website receives a 3 for that specific component. Where inaccurate information was detected, a rating of 2 will be given if the rater judges that the discrepancy is minor and will be unlikely to have harmful effects on site users. In cases where inaccurate information may be potentially dangerous to users (e.g., suggesting only palliative remedies for symptoms that could be indicative of nicotine toxicity), a rating of 1 will be given.

Reviewers will first review the content of each website by content area, and provide a detailed, written critique, specific examples, and suggestions for improving each content area. Reviewers will then rate the quality of coverage for each of the key components of treatment using Likert scale questions referring to (1) coverage, (2) accuracy, and (3) interactivity.

5. Risks and Benefits

Risks: There are no risks to participation in this study. There is a small chance that confidentiality could be compromised; however, as described in the next section, we will institute measures designed to dissociate identifying information required for communication with our panels of experts from their written responses. Also, we will destroy identifying information once data collection is complete. Participants will be told that they can choose not to answer any question for any reason and can discontinue participation at any time.

Benefits: There are no benefits associated with participation in this study.

6. Confidentiality

Although we will have names, addresses, and telephone numbers for participants, these will not be connected with their assessment responses. We will use email to contact participants and send reminders. Participants will be given a universal password to access the assessment tool and will not be identified by a unique username. In order for participants to stop and resume their assessments, IP addresses will be stored in the database. When the review is completed, and the data have been prepared for analysis, IP addresses will be deleted from the data set.

Quantitative data will only be reported after being aggregated across cases. Open-ended responses will be analyzed using qualitative research methods such as content analysis. The sources of any quotes used in the final report will remain anonymous. Findings from analyses of the data will be disseminated in reports to the funding agency, presentations at professional conferences, and scholarly publications.

Because the data will be collected via the Web, they will exist only in electronic form. They will be stored on the secure server in SSRI. Only members of the research team authorized by the principal investigator will have access to the data. The data will be stored for a minimum of five years after the date of the final publication.

c. Information about illegal activities: None of the survey items concern illegal activities.

d. Mention of possible child abuse: None of the survey items concern children or abusive behavior.

7. Compensation Participants reviewing the QuitAssist website will receive a check upon completion of the assessments.

8. Informed Consent Participants will read and sign a consent form to participate in this study (Appendix G, Consent Form, pages 37-38).

9. Deception

Not applicable.

10. Debriefing

Not applicable.

References

Bock, C.C., Graham, A.L., Whitley, J.A., Stoddard, J.L. (2008). A review of Web-assisted tobacco interventions (WATIs). *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 10, e39.

Bock, B.C., Graham, A.L., Sciamanna, N., Krishnamoorthy, J., Whiteley, J., Carmona-Barros, R., Niaura, R.S., Abrams, D.B. (2004) Smoking cessation treatment on the Internet: Content, quality, and usability. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research* 6, 207-219.

Berland, D.B., Elliott, M.N., Morales, L.S., Algazy, J.I., Kravitz, R.L., Broder, M.S., Kanouse, D.E., Munoz, J.A., Puyol, J.A., Lara, M., Watkins, K.E., Yang, H., & McGlynn, E.A. (2001). Health information on the Internet: Accessibility, quality, and readability in English and Spanish *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 285, 2612-2621.

U.S. Public Health Service. (2000). A clinical practice guideline for treating tobacco use and dependence: A U.S. Public Health Service report. The tobacco use and dependence clinical practice guideline panel, staff, and consortium representatives *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 283, 3244-3254.

AHCPR (2008). Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update

Appendix A Initial Email Message

Dear _____:

I am writing to gauge your interest in serving as a paid expert consultant for a study on smoking cessation for which I serve as project director. Funding for the study is provided by Philip Morris USA. I know that some scholars have decided not to take funding from tobacco companies, so, before providing complete details on what the consultation would involve, I wanted to determine whether you would be willing to receive compensation from a grant to Duke University from Philip Morris USA. If you would not be willing to do so, please indicate as much in a reply to this message. If you would be willing to do so, then I would be happy to send you additional information. In a nutshell, here is what I am looking for:

We have been funded to evaluate the QuitAssist website, which is sponsored by Philip Morris. We have a two-year grant, on which Jed Rose is Principal Investigator. Rick Hoyle is lead researcher on the evaluation component of the grant. The evaluation involves a series of studies on effectiveness and usability. The studies were developed by our team without interference from Philip Morris, and we believe they have significant merit beyond any information they would provide Philip Morris about the effectiveness of their website. We fully intend to publish the findings in relevant peer-reviewed journals.

I hope you will participate in our study on the quality and completeness of the information included in the QuitAssist website and comparison websites. I anticipate that what we are after would require a day or, at most, a day and half of work, for which you would be paid \$1,000. You would be one of 8-10 consultants. Our study is patterned after a study by Bock and colleagues published in 2004 in *Nicotine & Tobacco Research* (Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 207-219; I can send you an electronic copy if you'd like to see it.). Your name would not be associated in any way with your commentary, and you would not be mentioned in any way in any correspondence or reports of findings from the study. Once you have been paid, your name would be removed from our records.

We are very interested in the potential of ehealth interventions such as the QuitAssist site and feel that the set of studies we are doing will offer valuable information about the use of ehealth resources, their effectiveness, and for whom they hold the most potential. I hope you will agree to participate as an expert consultant.

Thank you.

Appendix B
Follow-up Email Messages

Dear Mr./Ms./Dr.[Insert Last Name],

Thank you for agreeing to participate in the expert panel review of the QuitAssis website and two other smoking cessation websites. Please visit:

by Day, Month Date, Year to learn more about the study and to complete your reviews.

The password to access the review instrument is *****.

If you have any questions, please contact the project director at 919-668-3290 or send an email to able@duke.edu.

Appendix C
Reminder Emails @ 7-10 Business Days

Dear Mr./Ms./Dr. [Insert Last Name],

Thank you for agreeing to participate in the Expert Panel Review of the QuitAssist website and two other smoking cessation websites. We would like to remind you to visit

as soon as possible to complete the review.

The password to access the review instrument is *****.

If you have any questions, please contact the project director at 919-668-3290 or send an email to able@duke.edu.

Appendix D Telephone Reminder

Hello, my name is _____. I am calling because you have agreed to serve as an expert reviewer of the QuitAssist smoking cessation information website and two other smoking cessations websites. According to our records, you have not logged on to the study website to begin the review. Are you still interested in participating?

If yes, “Thank you. I will follow up with an email containing a link to the websites and instructions. If you have any further questions, please contact me at 919-668-3290 or send an email message to able@duke.edu.”

If no, “Could you tell me why you no longer wish to participate?”

Appendix E

Script to Obtain Participants' Social Security Numbers

Telephone:

Hello, my name is _____. I am calling because you have completed the expert panel review of the QuitAssist smoking cessation information website and two other smoking cessation websites. In order for you to receive your check for \$1,000 we need to verify your address and obtain your social security number. Once we have this information, you will receive a check in the mail for \$1,000 within 30 days. May I obtain this information over the phone or would you prefer that we send you a self-addressed stamped envelope so that you can send it to us by mail?

If phone, "Thank you. You will receive your check in the mail within 30 business days."

If mail. "Thank you I will send you a self-addressed stamped envelope in the mail with a form for you to fill in your name and social security number. After we receive this information, you will receive a check in the mail within 30 business days."

Appendix F Expert Panel Review Instrument

Instructions:

We are interested in your expert opinion about the quality of content of three web-based smoking information/cessation websites: <http://www2.philipmorrisusa.com/en/quitassist/>, <http://smokefree.gov/>, and <http://becomeanex.org/>.

The eleven content areas on the following pages are key components of treatment described in the US PHS guidelines for the treatment of tobacco dependence (US PHS, 2002).

For each content area, please evaluate how well the website meets the standards set forth in the guidelines. Please provide a **detailed description** of the quality and breadth of the content, as well as what information may be missing, and any suggestions you may have for improving the accuracy, completeness or interactivity of each content area.

After providing your written response, please summarize your evaluation using the rating scales provided.

Content Area 1: Advises every tobacco user to quit

Please evaluate the extent to which the website advises every tobacco user to quit.

Examples: Provides personalized advice to quit. Ties tobacco use to current health/illness, and/or its social and economic costs, motivation level/readiness to quit, and/or the impact of tobacco use on children and others in the household.

In your comments, please address the extent to which the website makes a clear, strong personalized statement that all smokers should quit smoking.

Content Area 1: Advises every tobacco user to quit

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How clear was the advice for all smokers to quit?

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Clear Very Clear

How strong was the advice for all smokers to quit?

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Strong Very Strong

How personalized was the advice to quit?

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all personalized Very Personalized

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 2: Assesses readiness to quit

How well does the website assess readiness to quit?

Example: Asks users to indicate whether they are ready to make a quit attempt in the next 30 days.

Content Area 2: Assesses readiness to quit

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 3: Assists with quit plan

How effectively does the website assist smokers in setting a quit date and creating a quit plan?

Example: Guides site users to make changes in their smoking or related habits before their quit date.

Content Area 3: Assists with quit plan

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 4: Provides practical counseling

To what extent does the website provide cognitive and behavioral strategies to quit smoking?

Example: Discusses triggers and how to overcome them, importance of removing tobacco products from environment, avoiding alcohol while quitting, and behavioral alternatives to smoking.

Content Area 4: Provides practical counseling

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 5: Provides intratreatment social support

How effectively does the website encourage smokers in their efforts to quit smoking and help smokers harness social support in their environment?

Example: Provides messages encouraging the smoker in his or her quit attempt.

Communicates a belief in patient's ability to quit. Arranges support through E-mail, chat rooms, electronic forums, or buddy lists.

Content Area 5: Provides intratreatment social support

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 6: Recommends use of approved pharmacotherapy

To what extent does the website recommend all available FDA-approved pharmacotherapies and provide information about their use?

Example: Recommends use of nicotine replacement or bupropion. Explains how these medications increase smoking cessation success and reduce withdrawal symptoms. Provides guidelines for their use.

Content Area 6: Recommends use of approved pharmacotherapy

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 7: Arranges follow-up contact

To what extent does the website arrange follow-up contact and/or use proactive strategies to contact users at a later date?

Example: Sends an E-mail at important time points such as the quit date.

Asks about problems with quitting or congratulates abstinence. Schedules a repeat visit to the Web site.

Content Area 7: Arranges follow-up contact

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 8: Enhances motivation: Relevance

How well does the website increase smokers' motivation for quitting by addressing the personal risks of smoking and benefits of quitting?

Example: Encourages users to indicate why quitting is personally relevant, being as specific as possible. The site may allow users to click on specific benefits that are relevant to them.

Content Area 8: Enhances motivation: Relevance

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 9: Enhances motivation: Risks

How well does the website help site users to identify potential negative consequences of tobacco use and highlight those that are most relevant to them?

Example: Assists users in identifying risks of smoking that are personally relevant.
Contains specialized Web pages that discuss risks of tobacco smoking to specific types of people.

Content Area 9: Enhances motivation: Risks

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 10: Enhances motivation: Rewards

How well does the website help users to identify potential benefits of quitting?

Example: Assists users in learning more about the benefits of quitting relevant to themselves.

Content Area 10: Enhances motivation: Rewards

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Content Area 11: Enhances motivation: Roadblocks

How effectively does the website help smokers address common barriers to cessation?

Example: Assists users in identifying barriers to quitting that concern them (e.g., withdrawal symptoms, weight gain, fear of failure, lack of support) and notes the elements of treatment (problem solving, medication) that address barriers.

Content Area 11: Enhances motivation: Roadblocks

Please summarize your written evaluation of this content area using the rating scales below.

How extensive was the coverage of this topic?

No Coverage Minimal Adequate More than Adequate Extensive

How accurate was the coverage of this topic?

Totally Accurate Mostly Accurate Mostly Inaccurate (or potentially dangerous errors)

Was the content related to this topic interactive?

Yes No

Additional Comments:

Appendix G

Consent Form for the Expert Panel Review of Smoking Information/Cessation Websites

You are being asked to participate in a research study designed to evaluate the quality and completeness of information included in the QuitAssist website and two comparison websites.

What am I being asked to do? If you agree to participate in this study, we will first ask you to review the QuitAssist website. Then, we will ask you to evaluate the quality and completeness of the website using a web-based assessment instrument that asks you both open- and closed-ended questions. Next we will ask you to review the smokefree.gov website and evaluate its quality and completeness using the same instrument. Finally we will ask you to look at becomeanex.org, a smoking cessation treatment website and evaluate the quality and completeness of this website using the same assessment instrument. The assessment of all three websites should take about 6 – 8 hours to complete. After completion of the assessment of all three websites, you will receive a check for \$1000 for participating in the study. In order to pay you for participating in this study, we will contact you by telephone or by mail to obtain your social security number. This information will be collected separately from this consent form and will not be linked to any information you provide in the assessment. If you don't want to provide your social security number you can still be in the study, but you will not receive payment.

What are the potential risks and benefits of participation? **There are no risks or benefits associated with participation in this study.** You can choose not to answer any question for any reason and can discontinue participation at any time.

What about privacy and confidentiality? All of the information that you give us will be kept private. Your name will not be collected and your IP address will not be stored with your responses to the assessment. When the results of this research study are published or discussed, no information will be included that will reveal your identity.

Voluntary participation and withdrawal Your participation is completely voluntary. You can choose to complete this assessment or not. If you start the assessment, you may stop at any time without consequences of any kind. If you decide to participate, you can also skip any question for any reason.

Who should I contact if I have questions? If you have any questions please feel free to contact the project director at 919-668-3290. You can also call the Chair of the Human Subjects Committee at Duke University. The phone # to call is 919-684-3030.

Consent

I have read this consent form. I understand the information about this study. All my questions about the study and my participation in it have been answered. By clicking NEXT, I agree to participate in this study and will be taken to the first question.

NEXT